

Informal meeting with Harrow Estates

Location: Redrow sales office, Hauxton Meadows

Date: Tuesday 16 May 2017

Present:

Mark Nicholls (MN) Harrow Estates

Ashleigh Michnowiec (AW) Harrow Estates

Cindy ? Harrow Estates

Janet Lockwood (JL), South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC)

Jane Ward (JW), Hauxton Parish Council (HPC)

John Hammond, Clerk to Hauxton Parish

1 Mill

- 1.1 Attempts to progress the sale of the mill and other two buildings are failing at the stage of progressing project ideas. This is principally due to the (1) the listed building status, (2) the A10 access issues and (3) the bats occupying the third floor.
Efforts have been made to overcome the A10 access issues by considering bridge over the Riddy from Mill Lane but this has conflicted with the Listed Building status.
- 1.2 Bridgemere/Harrow are required to maintain the existing status of the building and cannot legally allow it to deteriorate. MN will contact the maintenance company to ensure the doors to the Mill Race are secure.

2 Commercial/retail area

- 2.1 JW mentioned her contact with the Co-operative group at the consultation session for the application to develop the Pemberton Arms in Harston. JW will send the contact details to MN.

3 Sports Ground

- 3.1 MN stated that Harrow were surprised by the remediation comments in Condition 7 of the planning approval for the pavilion. However, whilst these are 'not straightforward' they are standard Environment Agency comments. He advised that Steve Edgar will prepare an explanatory note to the EA. This should enable Harrow to do the work involved with the first 2 sections and to avoid the rest.
- 3.2 JH asked about the possibility of a covered building over tennis courts on the left-hand side of the drive, for a tennis enterprise. MN advised that Harrow would be concerned over the visual impact but the likely greatest issue would be building in the green belt.
- 3.3 It was agreed to share the cost of cutting the hedge beside the drive on a twice per year basis, at a cost of £160 total split between the PC and Harrow, ie £80 each.

4 WWTP site

- 4.1 Cambridgeshire County Council are the main objector to the proposed residential development of the site. The objections relate to the likely lengthening of vehicle queues during the morning peak period, due to the vehicles leaving the site, and a similar lengthening of vehicle queues due to pedestrians crossing the A10.
- 4.2 The current poor state of the grass area to the left of the drive was raised. JDK has recently been appointed the grass cutting contractor for this area, but Harrow requested that he only cut it occasionally. It was agreed that it ought to be cut at least once per month, at the same time that the Sports Ground is cut to keep the costs down. (The Sports Ground is cut once every fortnight.)